



UNIVERSITI  
TEKNOLOGI  
MARA

Cawangan Kedah  
Kampus Sungai Petani

# Voice of Academia

*Academic Series of Universiti Teknologi MARA Kedah*

**VoA** 2020 Volume 16  
Issue 2



## **COMMITTEE PAGE**

### ***VOICE OF ACADEMIA***

Academic Series of Universiti Teknologi MARA Kedah

#### ***Chief Editor***

Dr. Junaida Ismail

*Faculty of Administrative Science and Policy Studies,  
Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Kedah, Malaysia*

#### ***Editorial Team***

Aishah Musa

*Academy of Language Studies,  
Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Kedah, Malaysia*

Syahrini Shawalludin

*Faculty of Art and Design,  
Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Kedah, Malaysia*

Etty Harniza Harun

*Faculty of Business Management,  
Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Kedah, Malaysia*

Khairul Wanis Ahmad

*Facility Management & ICT Division,  
Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Kedah, Malaysia*

Siti Natasha Mohd Yatim

*Research And Industrial Linkages Division,  
Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Kedah, Malaysia*

Azida Hashim

*Research And Industrial Linkages Division,  
Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Kedah, Malaysia*

### ***Editorial Board***

Professor Dr M. Nauman Farooqi  
*Faculty of Business & Social Sciences,  
Mount Allison University, New Brunswick, Canada*

Professor Dr Kiyemet Tunca Caliyurt  
*Faculty of Accountancy,  
Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey*

Professor Dr Diana Kopeva  
*University of National and World Economy,  
Sofia, Bulgaria*

Associate Professor Dr Roshima Said  
*Faculty of Accountancy,  
Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Kedah, Malaysia*

Associate Professor Dr Zaherawati Zakaria  
*Faculty of Administrative Science and Policy Studies,  
Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Kedah, Malaysia*

Dr Kamarudin Othman  
*Department of Economics, Faculty of Business Management,  
Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Kedah, Malaysia*

Dr Kardina Kamaruddin  
*Department of Management, Faculty of Business Management,  
Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Kedah, Malaysia*

Dr Azlyn Ahmad Zawawi  
*Faculty of Administrative Science and Policy Studies,  
Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Kedah, Malaysia*

### ***Content Reviewer***

Dr Mohd Fuad Md Arif  
*Universiti Teknologi MARA*

Dr Neesa Ameer Salim  
*Universiti Teknologi MARA*

Ts Dr Velu A/L Perumal  
*Universiti Putra Malaysia*

Dr Wan Samiati Andriana Wan Mohamad Daud  
*Universiti Teknologi MARA*

Dr Zuraidah Binti Mohamed Isa  
*Universiti Teknologi MARA*

Dr Dahlia Ibrahim  
*Universiti Teknologi MARA*

Associate Professor Ts Dr Azhari Md Hashim  
*Universiti Teknologi MARA*

Dr Kushairi Rashid  
*Universiti Teknologi MARA*

Mohd Sharil Abd Rashid  
*Universiti Teknologi MARA*

Patricia P. Pitil  
*Universiti Teknologi MARA*

Dr. Khairul Manami Kamarudin  
*Universiti Putra Malaysia*

Associate Professor Dr Asmadi Mohammed Ghazali  
*Universiti Teknologi MARA*

***Language Reviewer***

Phaveena Primsuwan  
*Universiti Teknologi MARA*

Shafinah Md Salleh  
*Universiti Teknologi MARA*

Roslina Roslan  
*Universiti Teknologi MARA*

Rafidah Amat  
*Universiti Teknologi MARA*

Samsiah Bidin  
*Universiti Teknologi MARA*

**e-ISSN: 2682-7840**



***Copyright © 2020 by the Universiti Teknologi MARA, Kedah***

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior permission, in writing, from the publisher.

© Voice of Academia is jointly published by the Universiti Teknologi MARA Caawangan Kedah, Malaysia and Penerbit UiTM (UiTM Press), Universiti Teknologi MARA Malaysia, Shah Alam, Selangor.

The views, opinions and technical recommendations expressed by the contributors and authors are entirely their own and do not necessarily reflect the views of the editors, the Faculty or the University.

# TABLE of CONTENTS

|   |                  |
|---|------------------|
| <b>A COMPARATIVE STUDY ON SOCIAL COMMENTARY PAINTING OF THREE DIFFERENT ERAS IN MALAYSIA</b><br>Muhammad Afiq Hanafiah <sup>1</sup> and Mohamad Kamal Abd. Aziz <sup>2</sup>  | <b>1 - 6</b>     |
| <b>MANUSCRIPT OF HIKAYAT HANG TUAH (PNM: MSS 1713): A STUDY OF DECORATIVE BINDING AND ITS MEANING</b><br>Azlini Anuar Tan <sup>1</sup> and Mumtaz Mokhtar <sup>2</sup>  | <b>7 - 20</b>    |
| <b>ADVERTISING LITERACY AMONG LOW-INCOME COMMUNITY IN THE CONTEXT OF FLY POSTINGS IN MALAYSIA'S REALITY DIGITAL ENVIRONMENT</b><br>Neesa Ameera Mohammed Salim <sup>1</sup> , Mohd Nor Shahizan Ali <sup>2</sup> , Syahrini Shawalludin <sup>3</sup> , and Faryna Mohd Khalis <sup>4</sup>  | <b>21 - 30</b>   |
| <b>REVIEWING THE PRINCIPLES OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE IN ISLAMIC FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS: THE IMPORTANCE OF ISLAMIC VALUES</b><br>Daing Maruak Sadek <sup>1</sup> , Zakaria Abas <sup>2</sup> , Khilmy Abd Rahim <sup>3</sup> , Azyyati Anuar <sup>4</sup> , Wan Nor Faaizah Wan Omar <sup>5</sup> and Ahmad Fauzi bin Yahaya <sup>6</sup> | <b>31 - 40</b>   |
| <b>THE EXTRACTION OF DRAGON FRUIT PERICARP AS COLOURING AGENT FOR PAINTING</b><br>Mohd Zamri Azizan   | <b>41 - 52</b>   |
| <b>MALAY ABSTRACTIONS OF ECOLOGICAL PATTERNS INTO GEOMETRICISED EXPRESSIONS IN PALATIAL ARCHITECTURE AND THE APPLIED ARTS</b><br>Nurhaya Baniyamin <sup>1</sup> , Ismail Jasmani <sup>2</sup> , Puteri Shireen Jahn Kassim <sup>3</sup> and Abu Dzar Samsuddin <sup>4</sup>   | <b>53 - 67</b>   |
| <b>THE EVOLUTION OF MALAYSIAN CARTOON ANIMATION</b><br>Faryna Mohd Khalis <sup>1</sup> , Normah Mustaffa <sup>2</sup> , Mohd Nor Shahizan Ali <sup>3</sup> , and Neesa Ameera Mohamed Salim <sup>4</sup>  | <b>68 - 82</b>   |
| <b>COMPARATIVE RELATIONSHIP IN PERCEPTUAL ELEMENT IN TRIGGERING EMOTIONAL VALUE OF MALAYSIAN CAR DESIGN</b><br>Muhamad Aiman Afiq Mohd Noor <sup>1</sup> and Azhari Md Hashim <sup>2</sup>  | <b>83 - 88</b>   |
| <b>LUDOLOGICAL APPLICATION IN THE GAMIFICATION OF TERTIARY LEVEL EDUCATION</b><br>Mohamad Izwan Ismail <sup>1</sup> , Nur Ain Abu Bakar <sup>2</sup> and Mawarni Mohamed <sup>3</sup>   | <b>89 - 100</b>  |
| <b>IDENTIFICATION INSTRUMENT OF TALENT SCREENING TOWARD PROSPECTIVE ART &amp; DESIGN STUDENTS</b><br>Syahrini Shawalludin <sup>1</sup> , Neesa Ameera Mohamed Salim <sup>2</sup> , Azmir Mamat Nawi <sup>3</sup> , and Azhari Md Hashim <sup>4</sup>  | <b>101 - 109</b> |
| <b>THE APA STYLE 7<sup>th</sup> EDITION (2020): SOME NOTABLE CHANGES</b><br>Ho Chui Chui  | <b>110 - 121</b> |





# COMPARATIVE RELATIONSHIP IN PERCEPTUAL ELEMENT IN TRIGGERING EMOTIONAL VALUE OF MALAYSIAN CAR DESIGN

Muhamad Aiman Afiq Mohd Noor<sup>1</sup> and Azhari Md Hashim<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup> Faculty of Art & Design, UiTM Kedah branch campus

---

## ARTICLE INFO

---

### Article history:

Received December 2019  
Received in revised form  
Accepted December 2019  
Published June 2020

---

### Keywords:

Consumer Emotion, Emotional Value,  
Malaysian Car Design

### Corresponding Author:

[aimanafiq.industrial@gmail.com](mailto:aimanafiq.industrial@gmail.com)

---

## ABSTRACT

---

*Automotive industry in Malaysia transforming the landscape from an agricultural to industrial nation, risen the high-value economic activities and improved the standard of living for most of the sector. As this sector continues to advance, more high-value jobs will be available and these include production engineers, modeler as well stylist, product, process and tool designers. Innovative design development in car segment, exterior and interior design, trend and advances technology creates new car model to attract consumer purchasing and compete among manufacturer. New car model will evoke consumer emotion which underlying the human values and these values can be useful for predicting the emotional responses to this new design. Thus, this paper aims to compare the relationship arise in triggering emotional value between Malaysian car design namely Proton and Perodua in literature findings of methods. The history of these two manufacturers and its competitors were elaborate and methods available in searching for this element were discussed and propose as future recommendation as further study.*

©2020 UiTM Kedah. All rights reserved.

---

## 1. Introduction

### *History Of Malaysia's Automotive Industry*

The Malaysia's automotive industry plays a significant role in transforming Malaysia from an agricultural to industrial nation, which includes to the high-value economic activities, improved standard of living as well as in education sector. As the sector continues to advance, more high-value jobs will be available and these include production engineers, modeler as well product, process and tool designers. The sector employs thousands of Malaysians in both manufacturing and aftersales sectors and creates an important impact on the development of upstream industries (Malaysian Automotive Robotics and IOT Institute, 2019).

The beginning of Malaysia's automotive industry predates its independence when Ford Malaya was incorporated in 1926 in Singapore as regional distributor of Ford products. Malaysia's modern day automotive industry began in 1967 when Volvo Cars established an assembly plant in

Shah Alam, Selangor. In 1984, PROTON was set up as a national car project to spur industrialization. Perodua was later established in 1992 to assemble mini and supermini cars.

Malaysia's car industry is dominated by two local manufacturers which are heavily supported by the government through National Car Policy which are Proton and Perodua. Proton was officially incorporated on May 7, 1983. The first model, the Proton Saga, was commercially launched on July 9, 1985. The name "Saga" was chosen by Ismail Jaafar, a retired military soldier, and derived from "saga" (*Adenanthera pavonina*), a type of seed commonly found in Malaysia. The first new market for the Proton Saga was Singapore, right across the Straits of Johor. Proton was founded with the help of Mitsubishi. In 2003, the Malaysian government owned 32 percent of Proton. Mitsubishi which was part Daimler Benz had a 17 percent stake, at that time Mitsubishi was trying to get rid of its shares and Proton was making plans to take on a different foreign partner. The Malaysian government believed that Mitsubishi wasn't transferring technology fast enough to Proton (Hays, 2019).

Perodua (Perusahaan Otomobil Kedua Sendirian Berhad. English: Second Automobile Manufacturer Private Limited) is Malaysia's second largest automobile manufacturer after Proton. It was established in 1992 and launched their first car, the Perodua Kancil in August 1994. 'M2' refers to the codename which was used when the project to establish Perodua was still Top Secret. The shareholders of Perodua are UMW Corporation Sdn Bhd with 38 percent stake, Daihatsu Motor Co. Ltd. (20 percent), MBM Resources (20 percent), PNB Equity Resources (10 percent), Mitsui & Co. Ltd (7 percent) and Daihatsu (Malaysia) (5 percent) (Rosli, 2006).

Perodua mainly produces minicars and superminis and does not have models in the same market segments as Proton. They do not design or engineer their main components such as engine and transmission in house. Perodua cars have historically used Daihatsu component designs. Daihatsu held a 20 percent stake in Perodua at the company's launch, increasing this to 25 percent in 2001 and then to 35 percent. In 2004 Perodua started assembling the Toyota Avanza at their plant in Rawang, for sale in Malaysia.

## 2. Comparative Relationship Towards Proton And Perodua

In the new global economy, Proton and Perodua has been quite successful in its business ventures. Its cars are very popular among Malaysians, such as the Perodua Myvi, which sold 80,327 units in 2006, outselling its rival's best-selling car, the Proton Wira then, which only sold 28,886 units in Malaysia. The Perodua Myvi has been the best-selling car in Malaysia for 8 consecutive years, between 2006 and 2013 respectively. Perodua is set to become the largest manufacturer of compact cars in South-East Asia.

In the contemporary world people spending a lot of time interacting with their vehicle especially while driving. Perodua became the best-selling car company in Malaysia compared to Proton. According to Desmet, many aspects such as emotional value relation to exterior styling as well as social aspects of vehicles (Desmet, 2002).

Table 1: Total sales of car in Malaysia in 2017 and 2018

| Brand          | Total sales (Passenger Vehicles) |              |                |              |
|----------------|----------------------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
|                | 2018                             |              | 2017           |              |
|                | Total                            | Share        | Total          | Share        |
| <b>Perodua</b> | <b>227,243</b>                   | <b>42.6%</b> | <b>204,887</b> | <b>39.8%</b> |
| Honda          | 102,282                          | 19.2%        | 109,511        | 21.3%        |
| <b>Proton</b>  | <b>64,744</b>                    | <b>12.1%</b> | <b>70,991</b>  | <b>13.8%</b> |
| Toyota         | 43,446                           | 8.1%         | 47,615         | 9.3%         |
| Nissan         | 21,956                           | 4.1%         | 19,949         | 3.9%         |
| Mazda          | 15,765                           | 3.0%         | 9,454          | 1.8%         |
| Mercedes       | 13,118                           | 2.5%         | 12,067         | 2.3%         |
| BMW            | 12,008                           | 2.3%         | 10,618         | 2.1%         |
| Volkswagen     | 7,001                            | 1.3%         | 6,536          | 1.3%         |
| Kia            | 5,658                            | 1.1%         | 4,131          | 0.8%         |
| Others         | 19,981                           | 3.7%         | 18,287         | 3.6%         |
| Total          | 533,202                          | 100%         | 514,697        | 100%         |

Table 2: Total sales of car based on brand in 2019 according to passenger and commercial car

| Brand          | Total Sales |        |        | Passenger Vehicles |        |        | Commercial Vehicles |        |       |
|----------------|-------------|--------|--------|--------------------|--------|--------|---------------------|--------|-------|
|                | Apr-19      | Mar-19 | YTD    | Apr-19             | Mar-19 | YTD    | Apr-19              | Mar-19 | YTD   |
| <b>Perodua</b> | 22,141      | 23,286 | 82,800 | 22,141             | 23,286 | 82,800 | 0                   | 0      | 0     |
| <b>Proton</b>  | 7,011       | 6,136  | 25,292 | 7,011              | 6,136  | 25,292 | 0                   | 0      | 0     |
| Honda          | 6,175       | 8,677  | 28,365 | 6,175              | 8,677  | 28,365 | 0                   | 0      | 0     |
| Toyota         | 5,465       | 5,899  | 19,187 | 3,948              | 4,712  | 14,607 | 1,517               | 1,187  | 4,580 |
| Nissan         | 1,608       | 2,050  | 6,774  | 1,268              | 1,574  | 5,277  | 340                 | 476    | 1,497 |
| Mazda          | 1,302       | 1,010  | 4,613  | 1,299              | 1,000  | 4,539  | 3                   | 10     | 74    |
| Mercedes       | 974         | 1,365  | 3,992  | 945                | 1,334  | 3,899  | 29                  | 31     | 93    |
| BMW            | 760         | 920    | 3,325  | 760                | 920    | 3,325  | 0                   | 0      | 0     |
| Isuzu          | 742         | 783    | 2,646  | 0                  | 0      | 0      | 742                 | 783    | 2,646 |
| Mitsubishi     | 671         | 897    | 2,944  | 157                | 306    | 913    | 514                 | 591    | 2,031 |
| Ford           | 451         | 547    | 2,046  | 1                  | 2      | 8      | 450                 | 545    | 2,038 |

### 3. Emotion Value In Car Design

Philosophers and psychologists have often claimed that emotions involve appraisals or evaluations. One version of this claim has it that values are the “formal objects” (Kenny) or “correlates” (Husserl) of emotions. People are emotional beings and products can address our emotions in multiple ways. According to Norman, there is no longer sufficient for a product to function properly, to be usable and efficient, or to have an aesthetic appeal especially in car design (Norman, 2002).

We can be inspired by the shape or design of a new car, frustrated by the multifunctioning of the device, or proud of owning a fancy pair of glasses that provides us with status. In all our encounters with the artificial world, emotion pops up and they strongly contribute to our perception, preferences, and our general well-being. Positive emotions when experienced both psychologically and physiologically, thus forming a powerful emotional attachment between the human user and the product. In the contemporary world, people spending large amounts of time with their vehicle focusing on the exterior and while driving. For emotion-driven design to become a ma-



### 4.3 Perceived Quality

In the automotive industry, research regarding perceived quality is established mainly by investigation of brand image and heritage, aesthetic quality and different technical aspects related to material quality, sound quality, etc. The next suggestion of triggering the perception of car design emotion in Malaysia is perceived quality. Quality is recognized by many authors that product quality has a multidimensional structure. In 1984, Garvin introduced five approaches of quality definition: transcendent, product based, user based, manufacturing based and value based. The transcendent approach has a philosophic nature and proposes: “quality cannot be defined precisely”. The product-based approach sees the quality as a measurable variable. The consumer based approach represents an idiosyncratic and highly subjective view of quality in the product. The manufacturing-based approach represents quality is identified as “fulfillment of the requirements”. The value based approach defines quality regarding cost and price. As a framework of product quality elements Garvin proposed eight basic dimensions.

Table 3: Eight basic dimensions of product quality elements

| Dimension            | Elaboration  |
|----------------------|--|
| 1. Performance       | primary product characteristics  |
| 2. Features          | “bells and whistles” / secondary attributes that improve product performance and quality |
| 3. Reliability       | frequency of failure   |
| 4. Conformance       | match with specifications  |
| 5. Durability        | product life   |
| 6. Serviceability    | speed of repair  |
| 7. Aesthetics        | “fits and finishes”  |
| 8. Perceived quality | reputation and intangibles   |

Garvin identifies aesthetics and perceived quality as the most subjective dimensions of quality. According to Garvin, advertising has a similar impact on the customer impression as the aesthetics and perceived quality Mitra and Golder use the term “objective quality” defining it as ‘performance combined with all product attributes’. Objective quality could be measured by mixed methods and expert ratings and exclude subjective attributes like aesthetics and external factors like brand image. Regarding perceived quality, Mitra and Golder interpret this term as the “perception of the customer”, deriving from Zeithaml’s definition of perceived quality. Zeithaml (1988) describes perceived quality as the subjective consumer judgment regarding overall product superiority, different from objective quality.



Figure 2: Schematic illustration of the quality dimensions

## 5. Discussion And Further Recommendation

Malaysian car brand should find the best method in designing a car by emphasizing the limitation of emotional needed in car design, in passenger and commercial car or vehicle such as halo effect and perceived quality as a method than designers can use as mention to triggering emotional value of Malaysian car brand. With the study of the previous method researcher look into several possibility of defining an establish method to look into product value hence the car design itself. Thus, the relationship value between Malaysian car design manufacturers namely Proton and Perodua were propose as future recommendation for further study.

## Acknowledgement

This full paper were presented and published for International Conference on Design Industries & Creative culture 2019 (Decoded 2019) organized by Faculty of Art & Design UiTM Kedah Branch. Any opinions, findings and conclusion or recommendations expressed in this paper are those of authors and do not reflect those of the Decoded 2019.

## References

- Barrett, L., & Russell, J. (2015). *They Psychological Construction of Emotion*. New York: Guildofrd Press.
- Desmet, P. (2002). Designing emotions. *The Design Journal*, 6(2), 60-62.
- Guerin, B., & Innes, J. (1981). Awareness of cognitive processes: Replications and revisions. . *Journal of General Psychology*, 104, 173-189.
- Hays, J. (2019). Proton and the Automobile Industry in Malaysia. Retrieved from [http://factsanddetails.com/southeast-asia/Malaysia/sub5\\_4e/entry-3698.html](http://factsanddetails.com/southeast-asia/Malaysia/sub5_4e/entry-3698.html)
- Malaysian Automotive Robotics and IOT Institute. (2019). *The Automotive Industry*. Retrieved from <http://www.marii.my/automotive>
- Norman, D. A. (2002). Emotion & design: attractive things work better. *Interactions*, 9(4), 36-42.
- Rosli, M. (2006). The Automobile Industry and Performance of Malaysian Auto Production. *Journal of Economic Cooperation*, 27(1), 89-114.
- Simeng Gu, Fushun Wang, Patel, N. P., Bourgeois, J. A., & Huang, J. H. (2019). A Model for Basic Emotions Using Observations of Behavior in *Drosophila*. *Frontiers in Psychology*.
- Thorndike, E. L. (1920). A Constant Error in Psychological Ratings. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 25-29.
- Wells, F. L. (1907). A Statistical Study of Literary Merit. *Archives of Psychology*, 1-30.
- Zeithaml, V. A. (1988). Consumer Perceptions of Price, Quality, and Value: A Means-End Model and Synthesis of Evidence. *Journal of Marketing*, 52(3), 2-22.





UNIVERSITI  
TEKNOLOGI  
MARA

Cawangan Kedah  
Kampus Sungai Petani

**e-ISSN: 2682-7840**